

Memorandum

March 23, 2018

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-504

This investigation was initiated on December 11, 2014. The case involved an allegation that the Agency violated the Antideficiencey Act by providing support to the National Labor Relations Board Credit Union when the enity did not meet the statutory membership requirments. On October 27, 2016 we requested a Comptroller General decision regarding the use of the Agency's appropriation for support to the credit union. On December 14, 2017, the Government Accountability Office issued a Comptroller General decision with a determination that there was no Antideficiency Act violation. Because no further action is necessary, the case is closed.



Memorandum

September 19, 2018

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-519

This investigation was initiated on October 20, 2015. The case involved an allegation that the Hector Martinez, Compliance Officer, Region 21, engaged in wire fraud by directing electronic fund backpay paymentsd to his personal bank account. The case was investigated by the OIG and the FBI. The allegation was substantiated. Exemptions 6 & 7(C), the subject was removed from Federal employment. On August 21, 2017, the subject plead guilty to wire fraud and identity theft. On November 15, 2017, the subject was sentenced to 52 months confinement and to pay restitution in the amount of \$423,531. The case is no closed.



Memorandum

September 19, 2018

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-522

This investigation was initiated on February 10, 2016. The case involved an allegation that the property of th



Exemptions 6+

Memorandum

November 2, 2018

To:

David Berry

Inspector General

From:

James Tatum, Jr.

Counsel

Subject:

Completed Investigation OIG-I-535

This investigation was initiated on April 28, 2017. The investigation involved an allegation that personnel seemptions 6 & 7(C), Exemptions 6 & 7(C), Exempt

Approved

Date



Memorandum

May 23, 20	018
To: Fi	ile TIR /
	Berry General
Subject: Co	ompleted Investigation ICO OIG-I-536
employees in blower and Exemption	investigation was initiated on June 30, 2017. The case involved an allegation that in the Exemptions 6 & 7(C) retaliated against a whistle withheld records in responding to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. In s 6 & 7(C) Exemptions 6 & 7(C) Exemptions 6 & 7(C) Exemptions 6 & 7(C) Exemptions 6 & 7(C)
review of en performance Chairman a messages with determine with different from would with used to consider the messages with messages with the messages wit	determined that the allegations were unsubstantiated. With regard to the retaliation, a small messages documented that employee were addressing perceived be issues with the individual prior to the individual making protected disclosures to the and General Counsel. With regard to the FOIA request, it appears that 8 emails were not provided to the individual. Although our investigative efforts did not why messages were not provided, our review of the messages found that they were not om the messages provided and there is no apparent reason why the chold the messages. When interviewed, the employee explained the process the created PDF copies of the messages, and it appears the messages were likely left out of the responsive documents. Additionally, the original search for the vas not maintained and we can be certain the duplicate search results are identical. [Exemptions 6 & 7(C)] [Exemptions 6 & 7(C)]

It does not appear that any further investigative action is necessary, and the case is closed.



Memorandum

March 22, 2018

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-538

This investigation was initiated on August 2, 2017. The case involved an allegation that a Exemptions 6 & 7(C) solicited gifts from prohibited sources. On October 16, 2017, we issued a report substanting the allegation. Exemptions 6 & 7(C) the subject was demoted. Because no further action is necessary, the case is closed.



Memorandum

September 17, 2018

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-541

This investigation was initiated on January 16, 2018. The case involved an allegation that a Board Member participated in a case in violation of the President's ethics pledge and made a false statement to Congress. On February 9, 2018, we issued a Notification of a Serious and Flagrant Problem and/or Deficiency regarding the Board Member's participation in the case. On March 20, 2017, we issued a report substantiating the allegation that the Board Member engaged in misconduct regarding the President's ethics pledge, but that he did not knowingly provide false information to Congress. Because no further action is necessary, the case is closed.



Memorandum

September 19, 2018

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-543

This investigation was initiated on March 12, 2018. The case involved an allegation that the Exemptions 6 & 7(C), Board Member, released nonpublic deliberative information. The allegation was not substantiated. On April 26, 2018, a summary report was provided to the Congressional oversight committees. The case is now closed.



Memorandum

October 1, 2018

To:

David Berry

Inspector General

From:

James Tatum, Jr.

Counsel

Subject:

Completed Investigation OIG-I-545

Our investigation was initiated on May 24, 2018. The case involves an allegation that [Exemptions 6 & 7(C)] (subject), a remptions 6 & 7(C) in an unfair labor practice case, engaged in perjury during a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ).

We investigated the allegation and concluded it had merit. We discussed this matter with an Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) at the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Ohio which declined prosecution.

Because no additional investigation is appropriate, I recommend that the case be closed.

Approved

Date



Memorandum

October 19, 2018

To:	File
From:	D. Berry
	Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-546

This investigation was initiated on June 28, 2018. The case involved an allegation that a misused position by request that a subordinate employee assist with SES application. On September 11, 2018, we issued a report substantiating the allegation.

Exemptions 6.8.7(C) stated that the subject received ethics counseling. Because no further action is necessary, the case is closed.



Memorandum

October 19, 2018

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-547

This investigation was initiated on June 27, 2018. The case involved an allegation that a Exemptions 6 & 7(C) submitted a request for a hardship transfer that contained a false statement. On July 10, 2018, we issued a report substanting the allegation. Exemptions 6 & 7(C) the subject received 15-day suspension. Because no further action is necessary, the case is closed.



Memorandum

September 10, 2019

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-533

This investigation was initiated on March 30, 2017. The case involved an allegation that funds were wasted on the Headquarters move. Because of the staleness of the allegations, the Insepctor General determined that the issues in the investigation would be better addressed by an audit that is currently being planned.



Memorandum

March 19, 2019

To:

File

From:

David Berry

Inspector General

Subject:

Completed Investigation OIG-I-542

This investigation was initiated on February 12, 2018. The case involved an allegation that an employee was improperly reassigned to a detail position with a promise that would be promoted at the end of the detail. During the investigation, the OIG was provided additional information involving allegations of other improper promotions of Agency employees.

Regarding the detail allegation, the employee was detailed to a position at grade and later applied for a competitive promotion to the higher grade. We therefore found that the allegation is unsubstantiated. Regarding the allegations of improper promotions, we observe that the approval authorities for promotions are appropriately questioning the eligibility of employees when they approve the promotion. We also observed that there may be an issue with the coding of positions in the systems that are used by the Budget Branch and the Office of Human Resources. This issue is more appropriately addressed in an audit as it does not appear to involve misconduct.

Because no further action is necessary, the case is closed.



Memorandum

September 10, 2019

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-544

This investigation was initiated on May 7, 2018. The case involved an allegation that there was misconduct in the approval of Senior Executive Service salary adjustments. Durning the course of a companion audit, it was determined that the salary adjustments were approved by the then General Counsel, but that approval was not documented. The case is closed.



Memorandum

T	17	20	10
June	1/,	ZU	19

To:

David Berry

Inspector General

From:

James Tatum, Jr.

Counsel

Subject:

Completed Investigation OIG-I-548

On July 13, 2018, we initiated an investigation involving allegations that a consultant hired by a Exemptions 6 & 7(C) to assist in a recertification election in Region 1 Exemptions 6 & 7(C) paid \$200 to an employee to provide a statement alleging the employee was bribed by a union official to vote to retain the union. Exemption 5 Exemption 5 Exemption 5 Our investigative efforts substantiated the allegation. On June 5, 2019, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts declined prosecution.

Because no additional investigation is appropriate, I recommend that the case be closed.

Approved

Data



Memorandum

September 10, 2019

To:

File

From:

D. Berry

Inspector General

Subject: Completed Investigation ICO OIG-I-550

This investigation was initiated on October 30, 2019. The case involved an allegation that a Exemptions 6 & 7(C), Exemptions 6 & 7(C), participated personnally and substantiatly in a matter that had a financial interest. On March 4, 2014, we issued a report substanting the allegation. The subject was counseled. On May 30, 2019, the U.S Attorney's Office declined proscution. Because no further action is necessary, the case is closed.